EXHIBIT F

From:
To:
Subject: FW: NJ Gas Pipeline
Date: Wednesday, October 15, 2014 9:29:00 AM

I just got this inquiry yesterday from the Navy people in the Lakehurst side. I think it is a stupid question, but I'd like to get your input, as if it is answered thoughtfully (which I can't do!), it will make the conversation die off. Do you have any standards you can site, or other info that talks about mitigating worst case scenarios?

Thanks,

(good meeting yesterday)

-----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2014 2:33 PM
To:
Subject: RE: NJ Gas Pipeline

I sent this around to our management, the main concern is at the test site area with the gas main being so close to B355, the EMALS and catapult site. Concern on the mission integrity if an explosion or a gas leak, which could be catastrophic. Can you inquire into the blast radius or recommended clear zones if this main was to give away in the test area?

-----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 4:54 PM
To:
Subject: RE: NJ Gas Pipeline

I have to check on Broome Road. If I recall they prefer to go alongside of it since there aren't many wetlands, but they would do whatever we want. Are you thinking there would be less traffic implications if they trenched alongside? The line is definitely planned alongside of the taxiway, we did not want them touching that concrete. Whenever they go inside the roadway they would have to take the pavement surface up and trench through it, and do a pavement restoration. The idea (per NJ GAS) would be to do two phases; an initial backfill and patch, then they'd come back and repave to ensure it doesn't settle and leave a depression.

Whatever stipulations we come up with will be included in the real property outgrant documentation.

V/R<

-----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2014 4:38 PM