SOUTHAMPTON TOW...SHIP ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT BURLINGTON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

IN THE MATTER OF

)

TRANSCRIPT

)

ALLIED RECYCLING,

)

ZONING BOARD MEETING

Place: 5 Retreat Road

Southampton, NJ 08088

Date: August 8, 2013

ZONING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

STEPHEN ZOLTOWSKI, Chairperson
PATRICK KENNEDY, Vice Chairperson
RODNEY GOETTLEMANN
EVELYN M. DOHERTY
WILLIAM ROBBINS
TIMOTHY Q. MURPHY
JODY MAZEALL
R. J. HAAS

PROFESSIONALS AND SUPPORT STAFF:

SHERI HANNAH, Secretary
JODY MAZEALL, Zoning Officer
THOMAS COLEMAN, ESQ. (Raymond Coleman Heinold & Norman)
RAKESH DARJI, Engineer (Environmental Resolutions, Inc.
THOMAS SCANGARELLO, Planner

Transcribers, Andrea Foy
J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.
268 Evergreen Avenue
Hamilton, NJ 08619

(609) 586-2311

FAX NO. (609) 587-3599

E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

Website: www.jjcourt.com

Audio Recorded

ADDENDUM

Individuals identified within the text of the following transcript do not represent necessarily all of the individuals in attendance at this meeting. Their presence, speaker identification and other information regarding title page and appearance, along with various words, proper nouns and other spellings found within this transcript were able to have been extrapolated from minutes of the meeting and discussions with the Board Secretary and, of course, that which is evident and that which can be concluded. by way of the tape recording itself, which is of fair quality.

Areas of the tape which were unable to be discerned were identified by placing the word (indiscernible) or (inaudible) followed by a short explanation.

Colloquy

THE CHAIRPERSON: Call the continued hearing for Allied Recycling, Block 2401, Lot 36.02, 440 New Road for use variance and a minor site plan.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, Mr. Chairman, looks like I'm the star of the show again.

Mr. Chairman, I anticipated that Allied was going to be here this evening. Just bear with me. You may recall that they were here in June and we didn't have enough members to hear the application and they elected, because of their vacation schedules, to come here in August.

I got a telephone call yesterday from their attorney advising that he was sick and that they weren't coming this evening. I expected to get a letter confirming that, something asking for a continuance and I didn't get that. And I think, Sherri, you subsequently had a conversation with Mr. Ridgway.

I spoke with him this morning. MS. HANNAH: And did he confirm UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

that with you as well or --

That they would not be coming, MS. HANNAH:

yes.

So, it's at the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. discretion of the Board as to how you would like to --

1

2

18 19

17

20 21

22 23 24

Colloguy

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

you know, we have nothing in writing you know, requesting a continuance.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion. I say we dismiss this application without prejudice. Let them restart and get their act together and get everything organized to start with.

> Yes. BOARD MEMBERS:

I'll second it. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there any discussion? THE CHAIRPERSON:

(No audible response) Roll call. THE CHAIRPERSON:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are we allowed to from

the --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We will have a public

portion -

Okay, thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- just let's finish

this first.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay, thank you. MR. ROBBINS: Yeah, I think -- I'd like a little more of elaboration. What does it -- what exactly does this mean? What are the repercussions of dismissing without prejudice?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Robbins, it means

Colloquy

5

that they're free to reapply to the Board at their discretion and come back again. I mean, they're going to have to reapply, re-notice, reestablish escrows if they're deficient. Yes, Ms. Doherty? MS. DOHERTY:

My concern is that they had an application before us. Can they come back for the same application again?

They certainly can UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: come back with the same application.

MS. DOHERTY: Aren't they in violation of anything with what they're doing? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

Don't they need a use variance MS. DOHERTY:

and a --

issue.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You know, I -- you know, current what Mr. Mazeall -- his -- as the Zoning Board you know, I can't -- or a zoning officer, I can't comment what he has out there simply because I don't know --

Well, they --MS. DOHERTY: UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- what they're in violation or not violation of. That's an enforcement THE CHAIRPERSON:

MS. DOHERTY: But, didn't they have a use --

20 21

22

23 24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We acted on the application.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We haven't acted on

their application.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We haven't acted on

the application, so that --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Remember, we took it

right up to the public portion and we stopped --

MS. DOHERTY: What my confusion is -- if I can share this -- is that I was under the impression that the business that he's running --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.

MS. DOHERTY: -- the reason he had to get these use variances was to continue to do it, so -- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Again, that's --

MS. DOHERTY: -- I just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- that's an

enforcement action really.

MS. DOHERTY: So, if we vote to do this without prejudice, what's the difference between -- I know what without prejudice means, but what if this goes down, what is the next option?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What goes down?
MS. DOHERTY: What if this isn't approved?

What if the motion and the second isn't approved, what

Colloquy

7

would be -- what would happen then?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There could be another

motion to continue it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. There could be a

motion to continue it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The clock keeps

running.

THE CHAIRPERSON: The clock keeps ticking. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If you approve that

motion, the clock --

MS. DOHERTY: I know, the clock stops.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- stops.

MS. DOHERTY: Yes.

MR. ROBBINS: Sir --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would encourage you

to dismiss this.

MR. ROBBINS: So, sir, essentially, it doesn't change anything with -- unless Jody Mazeall, the construction official, has some enforcement activity that he'd like to pursue. As far as this Board is concerned, they can come in with exactly the same thing next month and start all over again. It's just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They've got to start

Colloquy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

all over again.

MR. ROBBINS: -- it's just simply a procedural -- it doesn't put them in any disadvantage,

it doesn't -UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're the Board.

MR. ROBBINS: -- put anyone here at any advantage, it's just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct, Mr. Robbins,

yes.

MR. ROBBINS: Yeah.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion and a

second. Role call?

MS. HANNAH: Ms. Doherty?

MS. DOHERTY: Yes.

MS. HANNAH: Mr. Goettlemann?

MR. GOETTLEMANN: Yes.

MS. HANNAH Mr. Haas?

MR. HAAS: Yes.

MS. HANNAH Mr. Kennedy?

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

MS. HANNAH: Mr. Murphy?

MR. MURPHY: Yes.

MS. HANNAH: Mr. Robbins?

MR. ROBBINS: Yes.

MS. HANNAH: Chairman Zoltowski?

Colloquy

9

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. At this time, I'll open this meeting to the public. Is there -- if there is anyone from the public who would like to come forward?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. THE CHAIRPERSON: Please come forward.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Come on up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Tom, can they speak about

specific --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They can talk about anything they want, we have no application before us.

MS. HANNAH: Make sure they spell their

names.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

MR. HERTZMAN: My name is Fred Hertzman, I

live at 466 New Road.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Hertzman --

MS. HANNAH: Hold on.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- can you just slow down for a second? Can you just spell your name for Ms. Hannah?

MR. HERTZMAN: H-e-r-t-z-m-a-n. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr.

Hertzman.

MR. HERTZMAN: At the beginning of New Road I

think there's a sign that says, trucks over four tons excluded, I think. I don't know if you guys took it down because of Allied or what, but there used to be a sign there.

MR. HERTZMAN: And a four ton truck is basically a UPS truck, that's four tons. Now since I've been laid off and retired, I see these double, triple axle trucks going right past my house, New Road, making all kinds of shit noise.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sir --

MR. HERTZMAN: They're going to rip up the road and you guys should be telling the staties to start ticketing these trucks. You start ticketing them, they're not going to come down New Road, there goes Allied, unless you guys want Allied, I don't know. But, there is a sign, at least there was when I first moved in 20 years ago.

So, I'm saying why isn't the Township, if they're concerned about not wanting to spend money on roads and stuff, why ain't they tellin' the staties to ticket these god damned trucks that are going down the road. That's all I have to say, thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr.

Hertzman.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rakesh, did you have a

Colloquy

chance to look into that?

MR. DARJI: Yeah. There is a restriction, a truck restriction on the (indiscernible).

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sir, you may want to

listen.

MR. DARJI: Yeah, there is a restriction, a truck restriction on those roads. But, I think -- you know, we can't really talk (indiscernible) the application, right, Tom, so --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There -- we have no application.

(Multiple speakers)

MR. DARJI: There is a truck restriction. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have no

application.

MR. DARJI: Since there is no application (indiscernible). The problem or really the discussion is that the restriction was -- when was the restriction put in. And I think the junkyard's been there and it's a discussion of whether it's grandfathered or not as far as the trucks go.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But, most important -MR. DARJI: And also -- sorry. The -- see,
it appears that the intent of also these restrictions
is to prevent trucks from cutting through. Because

Colloquy

 $\cdot 12$

it's -- clearly, it's intended to keep trucks from going into that quadrant, the 7206 quadrant there. They want people to go down 70 to 206 and not cut through these roads. So, it's really -- one of the major intents is really to keep trucks that want to go down 70 to 206 to go down 70 and 206 and not cut through --

(Multiple speakers)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Whoa, whoa, whoa.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But most importantly,
Rakesh, this Board has no jurisdiction to call the
State Police.

MR. DARJI: That's correct, yes, exactly.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Hertzman, that's a
Township issue, and I would encourage you to come to
the Township meeting to express your same comments,
okay?

MR. HERTZMAN: When are the Township

meetings?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sheri?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Third Tuesday.

MS. HANNAH: Third Tuesday.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Third Tuesdays.

MR. HERTZMAN: Third Tuesdays here?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

Colloquy

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Eight o'clock.

MS. DOHERTY: Mr. Chairman?

MR. HERTZMAN: Oh, I hope everybody shows up

here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Have you had a chance to look at it? Does it say local traffic excluded or anything like that?

MR. DARJI: It actually -- the restriction just says no trucks -- I remember because (indiscernible) took the pictures of the signs there. So, it just has a truck exclusion. And then the -- we actually have an ordinance on the books that says trucks are only permitted on these roads, all other roads basically excluded from having truck traffic.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And when was that passed?
MR. DARJI: I'd have to go back and look at

the date on it. It wasn't -- it was --

THE CHAIRPERSON: It wasn't recent.

MR. DARJI: It's been awhile, it's been quite some time. And also the exclusion reads that obviously local deliveries and things like that are excluded, so that's another again, grey area, local deliveries are excluded.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's at least 20 years old.

Colloquy

MR. DARJI: Yeah. That's -- it's been quite some time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Doherty?

MS. DOHERTY: No, I was just going to say I believe that the signs are just for the three streets, but local deliveries are permitted. I had, after the last -- one of those hearings, looked into it a little bit.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyone else from the

public?

(No audible response)

THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe she had her hand

up first, I'm sorry.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. WISHART: I just want clarification -THE CHAIRPERSON: Wait, wait.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You got to

(indiscernible).

MS. WISHART: Oh, I'm sorry, Catherine Wishart, W-i-s-h-a-r-t, 1 Falcon Drive, Southampton.

I just want a clarification on what has just occurred, we voted and everything. Does this mean that he now needs to cease and desist until he's in compliance, or he keeps going just the way things are and he's managed to play the system to get more time

Colloquy

again?

I mean, that -- I have a feeling that your hands are tied and I'm not blaming anybody on the Board because I think that you've really backed this up a lot more than people realize. But, I just want a clarification of whether or not at this point he has to cease and desist and go back to the way he was or he can continue with the operations as they are now?

THE CHAIRPERSON: No, that -- once again, it's an enforcement issue.

MS. WISHART: Okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: He can continue --

MS. WISHART: And that's not something that

you have --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.

MS. WISHART: Okay, gotcha. Okay, thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: He can continue to do what he's doing, and he could be putting himself in jeopardy

of fines, whatever.

MS. WISHART: Okay, legally, right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. But, that's between

the Township and him.

MS. WISHART: Okay. And it's not the purview

Colloguy

1 2

11 12 13

14 15 16

17

18 19 20

22 23

21

24 25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of this Board, it's the purview of the Township Committee, is that correct?

Our job is to set the law, THE CHAIRPERSON: the Township's job is to enforce it.

There you go, thank you. MS. WISHART:

We'll take a minute on UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

this one. Nancy Kolankiewicz, K-o-l-MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: a-n-k-i-e-w -- thank you -- i-c as in cat, z as in zebra, 11 Robbins Way.

> Thank you. MS. HANNAH:

Chairman, committee MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: So, with respect -- and members, just two questions. I'm sorry, I don't recall your name.

> Cathy. MS. WISHART:

To the respect to Cathy's MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: question and I know we got in a little bit late, is the Board in favor of granting a zoning waiver? And I beg your pardon for my tardiness and missing -- we didn't know which door to come in and it's a big building when you're walking around it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We haven't heard an application.

MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: I see, okay.

It would be no different THE CHAIRPERSON:

Colloquy

17

than you walking before a judge before the trial starts and asking him, is the defendant guilty.

I understand, Chairman. MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: THE CHAIRPERSON: We haven't heard anything

yet.

MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: I understand, sir, thank The only thing I wanted to say with respect to the other gentleman's comment about the trucks, it's my understanding every time you -- or it's my understanding that trucks in general are relegated to use the highway system that's been developed and they're not allowed to use the side roads.

So, I'm just coming back and I'm saying I think it is a statie issue and maybe residents need to Because every time you come over a bridge from the -- and I know it's not the issue at hand, but every time you cross a bridge, you see right there after the governor welcomes you and everything, you see that trucks must abide by the interstate highways that are And New Jersey is one of the states that out there. So, I just wanted to mention that. makes that a law.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if you heard it or not, but there is a grey area that says local deliveries excluded.

MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I did

hear that, but a local delivery, UPS, FedEx, I don't necessarily know that I would classify commercial -- as commercial -- I don't know, things for disposal or whatever else, I don't know that I would classify that as residential. So, I wouldn't -- I certainly wouldn't call that a local delivery, but --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

MS. KOLANKIEWICZ: Thank you, sir. Thank

you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Goettlemann?

MR. GOETTLEMANN: If you folks notice that the temperature's rising, the air conditioning system in the attic has failed. Our Township administrator is replacing units, temporary units are in the other offices. But, there's nothing to facilitate the occupation or occupancy of this room short of opening these windows and doors which I think we may have to do shortly.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a good way to keep the meeting short.

MS. DOHERTY: Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, Ms. Doherty?

MS. DOHERTY: Isn't it true that they will

have to re-notice everybody when they put the application through again so there will be paperwork

Colloquy

and notification to the people and the community?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If they reapply.

MS. DOHERTY: If they --

MS. YEAGER: Kathy Yeager, Y-e-a-g-e-r, 452 New Road, Southampton. In respect to the truck issue, I did go to the Township meeting in July and I did bring up the -- my issue with trucks driving on that road because I've measured, it's 20 feet shoulder line to shoulder line.

In my research of trucks on road, there's supposed to be at least 22 feet shoulder line to shoulder line or 11 feet center line to shoulder line, which we don't have. The Township has the ten feet right away, but I really don't see the Township coming out to widen that with the expense.

In relation to the code, I do have the code of Southampton and it says, "route for trucks over four tons" -- basically I'm reading this -- "no truck over four tons is allowed on New Road with the exception of making a local delivery." So, my question is -- and if it's not for this Board, if it's for the Township Board, then please advise me.

But, my question is, if this recycling business is operating without a permit, without an

Colloquy

application and doing their thing, are we to say that they're allowed to have their deliveries because they're operating or are there deliveries illegal because they're technically not a legal company? Because if their trucks are going down the road to deliver merchandise, which is recycled things, technically they shouldn't being doing that because they don't have a permit to operate legally.

So, that means, as a retired teacher, that I just call the state police each time I see one because I already do it when there's people in the neighborhood that don't belong there. I walk my dogs every day, I know my neighborhood, I know what belongs, what So, I want to know like where do I go with that question? Are they -- since you just made your ruling here tonight --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's not the deliveries, it's the activity of the driving on those It has nothing to do with them delivering products to that facility. What it has to do with is the trucks themselves driving on those roads. And as the Chairman said --

So, is this something that I MS. YEAGER: take to the Township then?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's correct.

Colloquy

21

1 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 13 14

15 16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

name?

That's correct. MS. YEAGER:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's an enforcement issue by the Township committee and the Township committee has the power to say to the state police, to any entity of any power to -- would you please research that situation, would you please monitor it. please act accordingly in order to identify the people that are using those roads and either ticket them or suspend them from using those roads.

So, we all need to be at MS. YEAGER: Okay. the Township meeting.

(Multiple Speakers)

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ: Larry Kolankiewicz, 11 Robbins Way, Southampton, New Jersey.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Could you spell that last I have a Polish --

I have it. MS. HANNAH:

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ: S-m-i-t-h.

K-o-l-a-n-k-i-e-w-i-c-z. With regard with the restrictions and the admonitions as to the restriction of tractor trailers going down New Road, there's a garage -- I'll call it a garage -- on Eayrestown Road and if there is no permission for these guys to be using that facility to get down to the garage -- you know, and this is all the time.

Colloquy

4 5 6

1

2

3

7 8

14 15 16

13

23

21 22

24 25

I think that's the grey THE CHAIRPERSON: area that the law is meant to protect people from cut-throughs from 70 to 206 or vice versa, 206 to 70. That's why they put that catch hold in a local Anyone else? deliveries excluded.

MR. JENKINS: My name is Steven Jenkins, I I guess I'm their closest live at 446 New Road. neighbor. My concern with this is if they grow, they're going to be growing into my backyard. property already butts up to my backyard, okay. they keep growing or getting bigger or whatever it is they want to do, they're going to be on my property. My value of my property is going to decrease 25 percent right off the bat, it probably already has, okay.

So, if I want to sell my house, I ain't going to be able to sell it, okay. I know Sheeny (phonetic), Pointsetts, I know the Fullers (phonetic), I grew up with them. I've known that junkyard for 100 years, The truck that Sheeny drove is only a little one The truck these guys are driving are 20 ton truck. tons to 30 tons, I've seen them. I -- I'm a truck mechanic, so I know trucks, it's what I do for a living, they are not supposed to be there.

They can't -- if they keep growing this place, what am I going to do? You know, spend the rest

Colloquy

23

5

6 7

8 9 10

11 12 property?

trucks.

13 14

15 16 17

20 21

18 19

22 23

24

25

come back out. MR. JENKINS: THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

of my life in Southampton? Not that I don't like it, I grew up here, but I got visions, I want to retire to I can't do that if I can't sell my house, do Florida. you know what I mean?

I mean, The -- yeah. THE CHAIRPERSON: they're not allowed to expand what they're doing, they can't clear more, there's regulations for that. can I ask you a question?

Absolutely. MR. JENKINS: Are there any cars on that THE CHAIRPERSON:

MR. JENKINS: Cars?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Yeah, there's cars, there's MR. JENKINS:

No, junk cars. THE CHAIRPERSON:

I believe that they recycle MR. JENKINS: That's -- all the recycling places recycle junk cars. towed cars, it's metal, it's steel, it's money, so absolutely. I can only ask you to -- if

THE CHAIRPERSON: they do you know, submit another application, to please Absolutely.

Colloquy

MR. JENKINS: Sure. Thank you very much. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would like to say

something.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh wait, he was first, wait

a minute.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Hi. My name is
Lawrence Kolankiewicz, 42 Falcon Drive, Kolankiewicz,
you already have the spelling.

MS. HANNAH: I do. I won't make you do it

again.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR: That's my son over

there.

Okay. I have a question, I just have a question for clarification. The business as it exists today — and I recognize there's enforcement issues and things like that and we can't talk about the police and everything. My question, you're the Zoning Board, correct? My question is plain and simple. Is the business, as it's functioning today, in compliance with the current zoning that it has?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I would say according to the zoning officer, no.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's what started all

this.

Colloquy

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So, we're not the zoning officer.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.
MR. L.KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And their application, Mr. Kolankiewicz, where it sort of abruptly ended was incomplete.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And one of the things that we requested that they do was come back here and further clarify exactly what they do do out there and we never got to that point. So, for anybody to sit here to say and --

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- definitively, yes, they're doing what they're supposed to be doing or not, it's not really our role to do that.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR: Okay, I understand. So then I say that forget about what they want to do, let's — let the minutes of the meeting show that it's in question that the way they're operating their business now appears to be not in compliance with the zoning and then it's an issue for the Township for enforcement. That's all I have to say.

THE CHAIRPERSON: They already are enforcing.

Colloquy

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Well, apparently not because they're still doing business.

MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Chairman, could I ask a -- THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, Mr. Robbins, go

ahead.

MR. ROBBINS: Have you made your concerns known to the construction official over here in (indiscernible)?

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: No, I have not. MR. ROBBINS: Do you think that might be helpful? If you feel from your observation that the activity there is not in compliance with the existing zoning regulations that it might be helpful for Jody to look into it? Have you asked her to do that?

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: No, I have not. I've come to this meeting because -- and what I'm hearing from the public here is that they're operating in an environment and doing things that appear to be far outside and outside of what the zoning calls for.

MR. ROBBINS: Then you should make that -- MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR. So, I came up and asked a question. I asked a question.

MR. ROBBINS: You should make that claim to the construction official --

BOARD MEMBERS: The zoning official.

Colloquy

MR. ROBBINS: -- you and your neighbors. The zoning officer, whatever he's called.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay.

MR. ROBBINS: We got a problem, look into it. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But --

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay so, does that -- are you telling me that my question is invalid?

MR. ROBBINS: He's the enforcement guy.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, but we should be

clear on what happens when you do that.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR: Okay. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. That happens

nine out of ten times.

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Someone complains about something that's occurring on their neighbor's property, they complain to the zoning officer. And the zoning officer is empowered on his own to go out and to inspect sites to be in conformance with (indiscernible).

Always, every single time without question, the zoning officer will afford that property owner the opportunity -- he'll cite it and he'll say, you're doing something that you're not supposed to be doing, you have to come before the Zoning Board. And you have

called a use variance to do what you're doing and -- or

to go before the Zoning Board and get a -- what's

you have to stop doing what you're doing. And they

will make that application which is where we are with

6 7

13 14 15

12

16 17 18

> 19 20 21

22 23 24

25

this applicant.

The other thing that the zoning officer can do -- and seldom, if ever does he do it, without giving that applicant the opportunity to come before this Board is he can directly take them to municipal court and say, you are not in compliance with the zoning ordinance, you're in violation and the municipal court judge will either say, you are or your aren't and will fine him or do whatever he has to do.

But, I'm just telling you that nine, nine and nine tenths out of the time, the zoning officer will give them the opportunity to come before this Board. What's happened in this case is that happened many months ago and --

> MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Okay. -- it's been delayed UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

in getting here. MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Well, thanks for So, I guess -- if I could explaining that to me. attest to my understand that if -- what I hear in the room here, if this is going on blatantly and they're --

Colloquy

29

if they're abusing or violating the zoning then people should file formal complaints to the construction office --Zoning office. BOARD MEMBERS:

Zoning, right --MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: the zoning officer so that they can be investigated, is that correct?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What can happen now is that by virtue of the action that this Board did a half hour ago --

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- if the applicant doesn't submit a new application -- completely brand spanking new application -- to this Board within a reasonable period of time, the zoning officer can easily say, you know what, they gave you an opportunity to do it, you didn't do it, I'm taking you right to And that -municipal court.

Okay. MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: its current practices, is that correct?

And that's --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. Okay. MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And that's the path that you all should take and insist upon him if there's something -- some action that you want to occur.

8

9

1

2

10 11 12

13 14 15

17 18 19

16

20 21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Okay. Thank you MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: very much for your explanation.

Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON:

MR. L. KOLANKIEWICZ, SR.: Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What's reasonable?

What's considered a reasonable amount of time?

I wanted to ask the same thing. MS. TAYLOR: My name is Pauline Taylor and I live at 446 New Road, we are directly in front of the property. And I can say that I've been there for a year and eight months and in that time I have noticed a thinning of the trees behind our property. Not where our property line ends, but on their property.

Because 18 months ago, I really couldn't see that far into their property, now I can because I believe they thinned out their trees. And they do have junk trucks on that property, even in the driveway they have junk vehicles there, they have dumpsters there. And my question is, if they're allowed to get by under the guise of local deliveries, is everything coming in and nothing going out?

Because they're trucking things out, are they not? Or is it just a dumping ground? I can't see a business making a profit if they're just bringing stuff to sit on a property. So at some point in time, that

Colloquy

material is exiting that property. So, I think that goes beyond delivery.

So my point is, I just don't understand if they're not operating in a legal fashion, is their communication between this Board and the people who enforce the compliance to get these people to either operate in a legal fashion or desist. I work in compliance, I work for a very large company. 6,000 venders that I have to deal with on a daily basis to comply with how we do business, so we communicate. I just want to know, is there communication between this Board and the -- whatever the other Board is, the construction people?

The Township. BOARD MEMBER:

The Zoning Officer. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

The zoning. MS. TAYLOR:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Zoning Officer.

I mean, do you operate MS. TAYLOR:

separately?

THE CHAIRPERSON:

No. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

There's a zoning UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: officer/construction code official, okay. charge of all zoning regulations that are contained in

31

19 20 21

> 22 23 24

Colloquy

that big book that Sheri has over there. If anybody has a complaint, they go to him. The Township committee has a complaint they say, hey, so-and-so is operating a sports bar out of the backyard, whatever the case may be, and he goes out an he investigates. And when he investigates he comes to a conclusion, yes, they are operating a sports bar out of their backyard and they're not supposed to do that. He sends them a citation and he says, you either come before this Board and seek a variance or I'm taking you to court.

MS. TAYLOR: So, this situation has been going on for quite some time.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I know. I can't tell you how long it's been going on, but it's probably been going on --

MS. TAYLOR: Is he totally unaware of the situation or no one's complained to him?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, he's the one that brought it to the attention of us. No, he's really doing his job, he's really a good guy and he knows what he's doing. He brought it to their attention and he brought it to this Board's attention and they have to submit an application before this Board.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. So, then the next

Colloquy

question is, what's a reasonable amount of time?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's the zoning officer's --

MS. TAYLOR: A few weeks, a month, six

months?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll defer it to the zoning officer. I'm not going to say what's reasonable and what's not.

MS. TAYLOR: And the zoning officer will be at the meeting, the Township meeting?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The zoning officer will be here first thing in the morning.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's here every day.

MS. HANNAH: Every day.

MS. TAYLOR: And his name?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: His name is Sheri

Hannah.

MS. HANNAH: Jody Mazeall.
MS. TAYLOR: Pardon me? Jody --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Mazeall. Jody --

MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Mazeall, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we put a maximum

time frame on that? No longer than a month?

BOARD MEMBERS: No.

BOARD MEMBERS: No.

MS. DOHERTY: It's not our call.

Colloquy

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, it's got to be done -- you can call Jody -- Mr. Mazeall if you want.

MS. HANNAH: He usually leaves the office around ten, so if you want to catch him promptly, 8:30 to ten.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 8:30, yup.

MS. TOPHAM: My name is Pat Topham for 450

New Road. My question is --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Whoa, what's your last

name?

MS. TOPHAM: Topham, T-o-p-h-a-m. THE CHAIRPERSON: Topham, okay.

MS. TOPHAM: I live at 450 New Road. And my question is, who is going to be doing any inspections on the ground? I have well and I'm very concerned about my water, so who do I go to? Do I come to you, do I go to --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Mazeall. Ms. TOPHAM: Okay. That would be Jody,

right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (No audible response).

MS. TOPHAM: Yes, okay, that's who I go to about that to see who is checking up on our soil -- I mean his soil, I should say. Okay, thank you.

MR. PRICE: J.P. Price, Falcon Drive,

Colloquy

Southampton, I've been there since '84, built my house.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We didn't catch the address, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: What's your address?

MR. PRICE: 33 Falcon Drive. So, I've been listening to everything and I realize about the communication between the Zoning Board and the Township and this Board. My concern -- I don't want to go back, I know they're doing something wrong now and they're going to enforce it.

My concern is to make sure that this Board understands how we feel that we want this variance — when it does get voted on if they come back — that we want it rejected for various of reasons of either safety, what it does to our town, our values. This is not why we came to a small town of Vincentown to have something like this.

And I don't know of any other local towns that in a -- this close to residential, 100 yards away, all those houses on Falcon Drive that back up to it besides the ones on New Road, I just think -- I hope that you can understand because I don't think any of you would want to buy a house on Falcon Drive. I'm also concerned that -- is this going to be a 24 by seven, I can see the bright lights and -- this is not

Colloquy

1 2

16

21

going to be a nine to five, this is going to be all the time.

My cousin owns a recycling plant in Pennsylvania, I'm very aware of what it takes and the So, I guess we'll have to come back if they come with that, but I don't think anybody here probably is going to say that they agree that this should not be So, I just want that known at that point. approved.

May I ask --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

THE CHAIRPERSON:

-- my question. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

THE CHAIRPERSON:

MR. PRICE:

MR. PRICE:

THE CHAIRPERSON:

THE CHAIRPERSON:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No?

Have you had -- . UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So, you hit the nail THE CHAIRPERSON: No.

on the head, you need to come back, okay. We're not going to make any decision tonight, you're not going to change our mind tonight whether -- how any Board member But, I can only advise you when you come back -- and this goes for everyone -- don't stand up here and say, I don't want it, I don't like it, okay?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.

damage, safety with truck, things like that?

have gone down and here's my proof, okay?

That's not a valid reason THE CHAIRPERSON: to turn them down, okay. You have to have facts, something proof of what you don't like about it, okay?

Colloquy

provide facts, you might have to say the home values

All right.

Like home values, potential well

Okay.

He was next.

Sure. But, you may have to

Wait a

37

7

17

18

12

23

24

25

minute. Bill Zipse over at 406 --MR. ZIPSE: Hi. I'm kind of new to the Z-i-p-s-e over on 406 New Road. whole thing and the process and I just have a couple of questions about the variance process and I'm learning a lot just being here.

But, when they request the variance -- I mean, somebody brought up about the clean water and that kind of does make me think about -- especially, when I think junkyard which is what had been there before, I always think, okay, you can harvest with a When I think recycling hacksaw and a socket wrench. center, that's pretty different.

You know, I think recycling electronics, even automobiles, but you're breaking them down further and I think you know cadmium, lead. Are these types of things -- when they request a variance -- I mean do they pursue -- I mean, everybody on New Road knows that

13 14 15

17 18 19

16

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

there's a wetland right along that area. Do they do an Is there a study on that?

What they do and what UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: they testified to that last time is that they identify the natural features of the property. What we did in our reports is we said that, yes, there are those natural features and it's our responsibility and this Board's responsibility to have them concur and identify what those natural features are and explain to us what the effects and what the impact of those things are going to be and how they're going to mitigate those issues if they are going to mitigate those issues.

MR. ZIPSE: Okay.

They're -- it's going UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: to be virtually impossible, as you know, to say, we don't have any environmental impact. If you put one foot on that property, there's an environmental impact, okay.

MR. ZIPSE: Sure.

So, our job -- and UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: it's been identified in my report and in the engineer's report -- is that there probably are those environmental issues. And as the Chairman said to the last person that stood here, you can't just come up here and say, well, we don't like it, we don't like it.

Colloquy

39

And the best way to help this Board and the best way to help us is to take another look at it and say, you know what, if you are going to approve it, I want you to approve it with the following conditions because this is the way it's going to make my life better. To not -- everybody in this room, don't necessarily put a negative impact on it. Look at it in a positive way and say to yourselves, if you are going to approve it, this is the way I want it done.

Well seriously, that's why I MR. ZIPSE: asked the question.

And that's the Okay. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

way --

Is there a contingency for MR. ZIPSE: Is there -containment?

Right. And that's the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: way you can help this process. And that's the way everybody in this room can help this process because it is going to be a process.

Well, thank you. Sure. MR. ZIPSE: You're welcome. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Thank you. MR. ZIPSE: MS. DOHERTY: Thank you.

Hi, Bernard Tetreault, MR. TETREAULT: T-e-t-r-e-a-u-l-t, 6 Ridgeway Lane. Would an

21 22

23

22

23

24

25

application like this on a property that has potentially contamination, isn't there some requirement for the applicant to show -- perform testing to determine if there is contamination and if so, to clean up the property?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We just talked about

that.

MR. TETREAULT: Okay.

One of the -- we just UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: talked about that. And one of the things we talked about in our report was, you're not allowed to bring any vehicles on there that are going to leak. I mean, it's impossible to happen, okay?

MR. TETREAULT: Right.

So, yes, we have to UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: figure out a way and they have to figure out a way. they can't figure out a way and satisfy this Board, then they can't do it.

But, even what's there right MR. TETREAULT: now I mean, could be contaminated, right?

It could be UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

contaminated.

Isn't it -- I mean Right. MR. TETREAULT: certainly, if somebody was to concern the DEP, DEP -and I think the town could even do that -- DEP could

Colloquy

investigate and possibly enforce the property owner -just like a gas station -- to perform testing to determine if he's got contamination. And if he does have contamination, he would be compelled to clean it

> UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.

MR. TETREAULT: Okay.

Also as a business owner I THE CHAIRPERSON: would have thought he would have had that done prior to purchasing it.

Because he's MR. TETREAULT: Right. -- if he didn't put that on a previous owner, he becomes responsible for it, right.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right. It was a

junkyard --

up.

MR. TETREAULT: Right.

-- there were cars there --THE CHAIRPERSON:

MR. TETREAULT: Right.

-- possibly leaking oil, THE CHAIRPERSON:

antifreeze, gas, whatever.

MR. TETREAULT: Right. It's still a junkyard. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

MR. TETREAULT: But, if he -- yeah. And from what I understand, like a gas station, usually if somebody buys that, the first thing they do usually is

to you know, put it on -- you know, it's contingent -- I've been -- dealt with cases with the state where basically, before they'll pay for the ride away, they put it aside to determine what the potential cost of cleanup is and they put that in escrow.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.

MR. TETREAULT: And the owner doesn't get that until the property's cleaned up to DEP's satisfaction. So you know, in this case you know, it would seem that there's a good possibility that he has contamination on his site that may have to be cleaned up to meet DEP requirements. And you know, I would think somebody could ask the DEP that question and say, hey --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And the way that that would happen is, if contamination was identified and he stood up there where you're standing and said, I'm going to clean that up, then this Board, if they approved the application, would approve it conditioned upon him cleaning that up and getting a certificate from the Department of Environment Protection before he's able to occupy that space any further.

MR. TETREAULT: Right, okay.
MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Chairman, could -THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, Mr. Robbins?

Colloquy

MR. ROBBINS: -- could I ask a question of our professional? Notwithstanding what Mr. Scangarello said about the public giving testimony of how they would like -- what improvements or what conditions they would like to see were we to grant this, don't they also have -- the public have the right and perhaps the obligation to give -- to present good factual zoning and ordinance and law related reasons why we might not grant a variance that would be inclined to allow them to continue their business as they're going?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. And that's what I tried to state earlier, that don't come up and just say I don't like it because they make -- you know, they put a 30 foot mound of metal --

MR. ROBBINS: Right.

THE CHAIRPERSON: -- and I can see it.

MR. ROBBINS: Right. But, there might be reasons. I don't know, but there might be reasons that public could present --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. All Tom -- yeah.

MR. ROBBINS: -- which would discourage this Board from granting any variances to the applicant.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All Tom was saying was, you can express your negative things, but also we will have to make a motion at some point. You may want to say, I

1 2

19

24

25

don't want them operating after 5 p.m., the public may want to say that and that's all Tom's saying. want to put some positive things forward in the motion whether it passes or not, that's all he was saying. So, we perform a test UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Isn't it incumbent upon the owner to here at the site. get down the aquifer, 100 feet, 200 feet, 300 feet, test a couple of the wells along the way.

We could request a test be THE CHAIRPERSON: We could request that our engineer be there. think they're going to do -- the first thing out, they're going to a Phase 1.

Right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

And if they see something THE CHAIRPERSON: that doesn't look good, they would go further. Phase I will be -- if they don't see anything in a Phase I, they're not going to proceed. Rakesh?

As part of the (indiscernible), MR. DARJI: though we're required to do some form of testing. Phase I, I think we're definitely saying there's a possibility that this area could be contaminated and would require -- as far as this Board goes, we could require them to do some testing as part of that If they -- if we were to condition any application. approval or grant -- or even a use variance, it would

Colloquy

provision, we have an environmental testing requirement

there's the protocol for the number of tests they have

And our site plan requirements do have that

And then as far as the actual testing,

be conditioned on coming back for a site plan.

for ground water and for Phase I/Phase II in our

one test, it would basically -- it depends on the

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

about us coming back with positive or facts.

Things like an ugly pile and noise and lights,

actual area of land and they're required to perform

ask a followup question. So, I heard what you said

said something earlier that was a little bothersome.

potential danger, your kids are very important to us.

And maybe we don't have all the technical that I have

to get down to looking up and Googling recycling and

all that, so I just want to make sure we understand

45

1 2 3

requirements.

several tests.

to perform per acre of land.

9 10 11

12 13 14

15

16

21 22

23

24 25

that. Because I look at it like, what is the benefit to the Township, if they approve it, of one business as opposed to hundreds of residents in this To me, that's town or a reputation that we have that. something that's just as important as all the technical

So, it wouldn't just be

Mr. Chairman, can I

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I just wanted to bring that back up. things. That's -- I didn't --THE CHAIRPERSON: No. if you took it that way, that's not what I meant. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

I'm just saying, you can't THE CHAIRPERSON: just come up here and say, I don't like it, I don't want it, okay, that's all.

Well, we can, that's UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

why we're all here.

You coming --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

No, I know what you're UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

saying, but I mean --

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

-- we don't like it UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

and here's the reasons why, I understand that.

But, you coming back UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: with pictures of trucks and/or pictures of 30 foot high metal and you know, supporting statements from your neighbors that said, I saw this and that and pictures and how it effects your property and lights at a particular time, those are compelling arguments.

All right. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

thank you.

Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Goettlemann? THE CHAIRPERSON:

Colloquy

47

A zoning board is a MR. GOETTLEMANN: judicial entity and our conversation relevant to this -- you notice, I haven't said a word. In order for us to function legally, we are a judicial body. You may say to testify, rules are rather important. but we're not taking testify because it's -- counsel, can you further -- it's just -- this is an open conversation.

Yeah, this is an open MR. COLEMAN: conversation, you're free to discuss anything you'd like, the time of day, this -- the fact that there is no application here. But, I think as many of the Board members have said over the last 45 minutes, we appreciate your concerns, but there will be hopefully a time and a place when you can return and express your concerns after the applicant has made a record of what or what they don't want to do.

But you know, to ask the Board, respectfully, about you know, are you going to insist on well sampling and the amount of evidence, it's all premature at this point, folks. And I can't say it any simpler than that. We don't even know if they're going to come back.

Rich Oberman, O-b-e-r-m-a-n, 29 MR. OBERMAN: Would you mind explaining to the public or the Falcon.

25

1

people that are here what is incumbent on the applicant?

Well -- and that means UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: the public good, right?

Right. MR. OBERMAN:

The applicant will UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: undoubtedly be asking for something that's called the In order to get a use variance, the use variance. applicant has to demonstrate that there are special reasons that he should be granted that use variance, that there are benefits -- not to him, but benefits to the municipality for the granting of that use variance, benefits that outweigh the detriments.

That he's doing something that's going to help the public good and public welfare of the municipality. That he's in keeping with the disciplines of the master plan and the goals and objectives of the zoning ordinance go to the So, he's got a very stringent test. municipality.

The same (indiscernible) if this Board votes to deny that application, they have the same stringent test to say, no, you haven't satisfied that criteria. And what the Chairman said 20 minutes ago is when you come up here, if you can also concentrate on those things as well as concentrating on the fact that in

Colloquy

49

your particular case it's not in your neighborhood's welfare for this to occur.

Not just because I think it's ugly or I think it's going to hurt my property value, you have to explain why it's going to -- why it's ugly or, as Tom just, because you have cars (indiscernible), whatever the case may be. So, there -- you know, there's a law there of guidelines and a land use law that they have to follow, there are guidelines in the land use law that they have to follow.

And it's important to UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: note that this standard isn't unique just to this potential application, this is for anybody in the room that may want to come in here for a use variance to do something on your particular property. You're going to

be put through the same standard. We're not creating a special standard just for them.

This is what he was UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He wasn't trying to like have you come up with He's -- there is -you know, things against or for. rules that they have to follow and comply with --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

-- or they get denied. So, if you want to look them up and see what they have to comply with, then you can argue those points.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 |

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.

So, be smart about it UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

and use those points for your advantage.

Right. But, by the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: same token, think about -- as I said before, think about how -- think about if it had to stay there, think about if this Board said, there's no way we can get rid of this thing, think about how it's going -- think about what things could be done to it to make your life better.

(Multiple Speakers)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Think about --But, they're in my UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

backyard.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I understand that. Excuse me, Catherine Wishart MS. WISHART:

Guys, this is what we're hearing. one more time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Wait a minute, if you want to talk to them, you can talk to them outside. If you want to come up and ask us a question --

I just want to make sure MS. WISHART: Okay. I'm hearing this properly then, okay? You cannot take a stance one way or the other because if you do, it would be prejudicial at this point. So, you have to be very careful with what you say to us and what kind of

Colloquy

51

guidance you give us, is that true?

The guidance we're giving THE CHAIRPERSON: you is for any application.

For any application, right. MS. WISHART: If your neighbor wanted to THE CHAIRPERSON: put a deck on the back of his house --

You're giving us general MS. WISHART: information at this point that has nothing to do with any particular application, but just general information on how this Board is required to work. the -- and this Board needs to not only look at the possibility of denial, but also of acceptance, so we're getting information on how to proceed on both sides of the coin.

And that way we can be prepared in -- as a group to see which -- if it goes one way, we work on it that -- on it from one point of view. If the way that we hope it goes doesn't come through, then we can turn around and try to put specifics into place to make it as livable a situation as possible.

Correct. THE CHAIRPERSON:

Because I do know that there MS. WISHART: have been a couple of things that the Board has tried to stop coming into the Township lately that then got -- that there were some issues with. So, we've got to Colloguy 52

look at both sides of the coin in order to be prepared ourselves to make this the best situation possible for everyone. That's what you're trying to tell us now, for all applications that that's the way we should look at it, correct?

THE CHAIRPERSON: Correct.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.

MS. WISHART: Thank you.

MS. ARIAS: Theresa Arias, A-r-i-a-s, 441 New Road, right across the street. Are there certain hours of operation right now that he's allowed to operate his business? If you live right next door to him, those bright lights are on ten, eleven o'clock at night.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're on all night.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In the backyard.

MS. ARIAS: Yeah.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Twenty-four hours.

MR. ROBBINS: I believe there's a sign at the gate that has the hours of operation, I just saw it

today.

MS. ARIAS: Okay. And that doesn't mean just

open to the public.

THE CHAIRPERSON: They may have lights on for security reasons. They -- you know, but they

Colloquy

shouldn't --

MS. ARIAS: They're in there at night, you -- THE CHAIRPERSON: -- be outside their

operating hours.

MS. ARIAS: It sounds like they're picking up cars and boom.

THE CHAIRPERSON: But, that's -- once again, it's an enforcement issue.

MS. ARIAS: Okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: But once again going back to what she stated, if -- you may want to say, if this -- something like this does happen, we would like it restricted to this.

MS. ARIAS: Certain hours, right. Okay, thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Come on up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Come on.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would just like to say that before I moved to this area, I heard a lot about the Pinelands and I was very proud to move down here because it is a very unique and wonderful geography that we have. I don't think there's anywhere in the nation that has the aquifer underneath the land like we do here in South Jersey.

And South Jersey's been come to known for a

Colloguy

lot of really good things and I think we should put ourself on that level with The Sequoias, the Red Lands, the Bad Lands, Nappa, all those other people that enjoy being proud of where they're from. I think that we should take heart and defend the Pinelands because that's what's going on here.

These people are proud of where they're from, they want to protect their property value, they want to remain proud of where they belong. And in my estimation, recycling and a gorgeous geography doesn't So, I don't think it's a really good fit, go together. I don't think they're a really good partner, I don't So, I think that think they're a really good neighbor. ought to be taken into consideration.

All right, please come back THE CHAIRPERSON:

out.

1

2

3

٠4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Thank you. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Anyone else? THE CHAIRPERSON:

When -- how can we be UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

notified when -- if they do apply, that we --Only the --THE CHAIRPERSON:

Is it up on the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

website, do you know what the (indiscernible) is?

Only the owners within 204 THE CHAIRPERSON:

feet will be notified.

Colloquy

55

Trust me, you'll --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I mean, how we UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. would know when it's time to come?

-- I'm sure you'll UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

find out.

It will be in the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

paper.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyone else from the

public?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Come on up.

Lawrence Burke, 3 Falcon Drive, MR. BURKE: I just have a question, why is the onus Southampton. on us, the members of the community, to prove what's I went on Google Earth on the going on back there. computer to try to get overhead photos, they're three years old, they don't nearly show the damage that's being done back there. So, this committee or the construction guy can't have money in the budget to have a plane go overhead and see how awful this place is? mean, how much could a half a dozen aerial photos cost this community, all the taxes we pay?

It's a wonderful UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: question you can raise with the Township committee. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: August 20th.

That's Mr. Mazeall? MR. BURKE:

21 22 23

Colloguy

14

23 24 25 Officer.

THE CHAIRPERSON: He's the Zoning UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Each --

So, who, MR. BURKE: All right.

specifically, do I need to talk to before I talk to the EPA about this?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would start, as we mentioned this evening, I would start -- if you have a concern about the operation and what's being undertaken there, as Sherri has said, he's here at 8:30 in the morning.

Okay, thank you. MR. BURKE:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, with every application this Board has the right to go out there and survey what's going on.

MR. BURKE: We've invited Jody to come out, go through our backyard and see what's going on and I don't think he's ever done it because I'm home almost every day. And I see in here what's going on back there. And they were very busy today, I'm surprised there's no one here tonight to represent them.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think Jody is aware of what's going on. And Tom has stated, Jody has put them on notice.

MR. BURKE: You've all heard of Love Canal,

Colloquy

57

what happened there years ago, right? If we're not careful, the same thing's going to happen here.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyone else? (No audible response)

Public portion closed, THE CHAIRPERSON: thank you all for coming out. If you could, please don't talk out in the hallway, please go outside so we can --

(Conclusion of the public portion of the meting) THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there anything else to come before this Board?

I make a motion we adjourn. MR. HAAS:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Robbins?

I had a question regarding MR. ROBBINS:

the --

I can't hear anything. MS. HANNAH:

THE CHAIRPERSON: Tom?

Excuse me? MR. SCANGARELLO:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Outside.

MR. SCANGARELLO: You can either take it outside or -- because we're trying to still wrap up some business here.

Sorry. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

MR. SCANGARELLO: Sorry. Thank you. (Unrelated matters discussed)

1

14

15 16 17

19 20 21

18

22 23 24

58 Colloquy

1 2

7

12

17

I have two questions. MS. DOHERTY:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Doherty?

What if, for some reason, MS. DOHERTY: Allied says, well, I really faxed something to the Township and I guess it didn't take and I wanted a continuance, what would you recommend, no matter what, we do with the paperwork we have? Should we throw it away or should we keep what we have on Allied?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would definitely I think it will be a valuable keep what you have. resource to the extent that they do agree to come back. You know, I mean that's up to you, if you want to start fresh, they're going to be -- if they come back, they're going to be obligated to resubmit all the paperwork. As Tom said, a complete brand new application.

MS. DOHERTY: Okay. And what if they said that they tried to notify somebody, couldn't get through or -- what would happen in that event? It doesn't matter.

MS. HANNAH: You know, I wasn't in UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: the office yesterday, I was in the office first thing this morning and I didn't receive anything. And I've known Mike Ridgway a long time, I -- honestly, I don't

Colloquy

know whether he's sick or not and that's not for me to

faxed it to you because he would have an obligation --

Okay.

the questions. Sometimes electronics don't work, I

really doesn't matter because you made a decision, I

But, I don't think he would say, hey listen, I

MS. HANNAH: I've dealt with him a long time

Well, I'm just raising

At this point, it

They had an opportunity to come

59

1

6

16 17 18

15

19 20

23

24

25

adjourn. 21 22

too.

know.

But, I just --MS. DOHERTY: Okay. -- and they didn't do UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

that either. MS. DOHERTY: -- just wanted to ask the

question. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sure.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

here tonight and physically ask you for a

MS. DOHERTY:

support the decision.

continuance --

Mr. Haas made a motion to THE CHAIRPERSON:

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second. All in favor? THE CHAIRPERSON:

ZONING BOARD: I. THE CHAIRPERSON: We're adjourned.

CERTIFICATION

I, ANDREA FOY, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings on compact disk, playback numbers 7:29:00 to 8:31:31, is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded, and to the best of my ability.

Approved by:

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

DATE: 10/7/13